

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 08-03891 AHM (JTLx)	Date	June 18, 2008
Title	WOOLF, et al. v. COAST LEASING CORP. d.b.a. ADVANTAGE RENT-A-CAR, et al.		

Present: The Honorable	A. HOWARD MATZ, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE		
Stephen Montes	Not Reported		
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.
Attorneys NOT Present for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys NOT Present for Defendants:		

Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS (No Proceedings Held)

On June 13, 2008, Plaintiff Andrew L. Woolf filed this action based on diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). Compl. ¶ 1. The complaint states that “[t]he named Plaintiff, Andrew L. Woolf, and numerous members of the Plaintiff class are citizens of a state different than defendant.” *Id.* ¶1(a). The complaint states that Plaintiff is a resident of California. *Id.* ¶ 4(b). The complaint also alleges that Defendant Coast Leasing Corp. is a “California Corporation,” with “its principal place of business in Texas.” *Id.* ¶ 5(a), (d).

A federal court must determine its own jurisdiction even if there is no objection to it. *Rains v. Criterion Systems, Inc.*, 80 F.3d 339 (9th Cir. 1996). Jurisdiction must be determined from the face of the complaint. *Caterpillar, Inc. v. Williams*, 482 U.S. 386, 392 (1987). A federal court has original jurisdiction over a civil matter “in which the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, . . . and is a class action in which . . . any member of a class of plaintiffs is a citizen of a State different from any Defendant.” 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A). A “corporation [is] deemed to be a citizen of any State by which it has been incorporated and of the State where it has its principal place of business. . .” *Id.* at 1332(c)(1).

In this case, Plaintiff alleges that he and other members of the Plaintiff Class are diverse from Defendants. Compl. ¶ 1. However, according to the Complaint, named Plaintiff and Defendant are citizens of California, and no citizenship is given for any other Plaintiff.

Accordingly, and good cause appearing therefor, the Court hereby **ORDERS Plaintiff TO SHOW CAUSE** on or before June 27, 2008, why this action should not be

O

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 08-03891 AHM (JTLx)	Date	June 18, 2008
Title	WOOLF, et al. v. COAST LEASING CORP. d.b.a. ADVANTAGE RENT-A-CAR, et al.		

dismissed for lack of diversity jurisdiction.

Failure to respond on or before that date will be construed as consent to dismissal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

	:	
Initials of Preparer		SMO